

Supplemental appendix for
**Indirect estimation of large conditionally
heteroskedastic factor models, with an application to
the Dow 30 stocks**

Enrique Sentana

CEMFI, Casado del Alisal 5, E-28014 Madrid, Spain
<sentana@cemfi.es>

Giorgio Calzolari

Università di Firenze, Viale Morgagni 59, I-50134 Firenze, Italy
<calzolar@ds.unifi.it>

Gabriele Fiorentini

Università di Firenze, Viale Morgagni 59, I-50134 Firenze, Italy
<fiorentini@ds.unifi.it>

Revised: April 2008

B The score of the HRS approximate likelihood function

The log-likelihood function of the HRS model that we consider is given by $\bar{l}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where

$$l_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{N}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{C}' + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})| - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_t' [\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{C}' + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})]^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t, \quad (\text{B3})$$

$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a $k \times k$ diagonal matrix with typical element

$$\lambda_{jt}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \varpi_j + \alpha_j [g_{jt-1|t-1}^2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \omega_{j|t-1|t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] + \beta_j \lambda_{j,t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

with $\varpi_j = (1 - \alpha_j - \beta_j)\lambda_j$, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a $N \times N$ diagonal matrix with typical element

$$\gamma_{it}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \varpi_i^* + \alpha_i^* [v_{it-1|t-1}^2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \xi_{it-1|t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] + \beta_i^* \gamma_{i,t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

with $\varpi_i^* = (1 - \alpha_i^* - \beta_i^*)\gamma_i$ and where $g_{jt|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $v_{it|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\omega_{j|t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\xi_{it|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are typical elements of the outputs of the Kalman filter updating equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= E(\mathbf{g}_t | X_t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{C}' [\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{C}' + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})]^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t, \\ \mathbf{v}_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= E(\mathbf{v}_t | X_t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{g}_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \\ \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= V(\mathbf{g}_t | X_t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{C}' [\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{C}' + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})]^{-1} \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \\ \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= V(\mathbf{v}_t | X_t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{C}'. \end{aligned}$$

Bollerslev and Wooldridge (1992) show that the score function $\mathbf{s}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \partial l_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}$ of any multivariate conditionally heteroskedastic dynamic regression model with conditional mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and conditional covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_t'(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) [\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \text{vec}'[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})]}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right] \text{vec} \{ [\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})][\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})]' - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

In our case the first term disappears because $\boldsymbol{\mu}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$. As for the second, given that the differential of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t$ is

$$d(\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t\mathbf{C}' + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t) = (d\mathbf{C})\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t\mathbf{C}' + \mathbf{C}(d\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t)\mathbf{C}' + \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(d\mathbf{C}') + d\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t, \quad (\text{B4})$$

(cf. Magnus and Neudecker (1999)), we have that Jacobian of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ will be:

$$\frac{\partial \text{vec}[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})]}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} = (\mathbf{I}_{N^2} + \mathbf{K}_{NN})[\mathbf{I}_N \otimes \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})] \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} + \mathbf{E}_N \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} + (\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{C})\mathbf{E}_k \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'},$$

where \mathbf{E}_n is the unique $n^2 \times n$ ‘‘diagonalisation’’ matrix which transforms $\text{vec}(\mathbf{A})$ into $\text{vecd}(\mathbf{A})$ as $\text{vecd}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{E}_n' \text{vec}(\mathbf{A})$, and \mathbf{K}_{mn} is the commutation matrix of orders m and n (see Magnus (1988)).

After some straightforward algebraic manipulations, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vec} [\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \gamma_t'(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vecd} [\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}_t'(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vecd} [\mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}]. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (9), we will have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \lambda_{jt}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \alpha_j \left[2g_{jt-1|t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\partial g_{jt-1|t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial \omega_{jjt-1|t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right] + \beta_j \frac{\partial \lambda_{jt-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial \varpi_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} [g_{jt-1|t-1}^2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \omega_{jjt-1|t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] + \frac{\partial \beta_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \lambda_{jt-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varpi_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (1 - \alpha_j - \beta_j)\lambda_j$. Similarly, (10) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \gamma_{it}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \alpha_i^* \left[2v_{it-1|t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\partial v_{it-1|t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial \xi_{iit-1|t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right] + \beta_i^* \frac{\partial \gamma_{it-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial \varpi_i^*(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial \alpha_i^*}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} [v_{it-1|t-1}^2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \xi_{iit-1|t-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] + \frac{\partial \beta_i^*}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \gamma_{it-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varpi_i^*(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (1 - \alpha_i^* - \beta_i^*)\gamma_i$. If we impose the restriction $\alpha_i^* = \alpha^*$ and $\beta_i^* = \beta^* \forall i$, then the usual chain rule implies that $s_{\alpha^*t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N s_{\alpha_i^*t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $s_{\beta^*t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N s_{\beta_i^*t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that if we fix the factor scales by setting $c_{jj} = 1$ instead of $\lambda_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$, then we must exclude the elements of the score corresponding to those factor loadings, and replace them with the derivatives with respect to λ_j , which can be trivially found from the previous expressions because the unconditional variance parameters only appear directly in the expression for the pseudo log-likelihood function $l_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ in (B3) through the constant term in the conditional variance expressions, $\varpi_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Either way, since we initialise the conditional variances with $\lambda_{j1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \lambda_j$ and $\gamma_{i1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \gamma_i$, then we must always start up the derivative recursions with $\partial \lambda_{j1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} = \partial \lambda_j/\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\partial \gamma_{i1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} = \partial \gamma_i/\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}$.

If $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t > \mathbf{0}$, then we can use the Woodbury formula to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{t|t} &= \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t} \mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t, \\ \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t} &= (\mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1})^{-1}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} - \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t &= [\mathbf{g}_{t|t} \mathbf{x}_t - (\mathbf{g}_{t|t} \mathbf{g}_{t|t}' + \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}) \mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}], \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} &= \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1} [(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{C} \mathbf{g}_{t|t})(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{C} \mathbf{g}_{t|t})' + \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t} \mathbf{C}' - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t] \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} [(\mathbf{g}_{t|t} \mathbf{g}_{t|t}' + \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}) - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t] \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1},$$

which greatly simplifies the computations (see Sentana (2000)).

Under the same assumption, the differential of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}$ will be $-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}d(\mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}\mathbf{C} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1})\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}$, where

$$d(\mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}\mathbf{C} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1}) = (d\mathbf{C}')\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}(d\mathbf{C}) - \mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}(d\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t)\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}\mathbf{C} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1}(d\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1}.$$

If we call $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{t|t} = \text{vech}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}) = \mathbf{D}_k^+ \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t})$, where \mathbf{D}_k is the duplication matrix of order k and \mathbf{D}_k^+ its Moore-Penrose inverse, then we will have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \left[-2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}) + \frac{\partial \gamma'_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{E}'_N (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}'_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{E}'_k (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}) \right] \mathbf{D}_k^{+'}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the differential of $\mathbf{g}_{t|t}$ when $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t$ has full rank will be given by

$$d\mathbf{g}_{t|t} = (d\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t})\mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}\mathbf{x}_t + \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}d(\mathbf{C}')\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}\mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}d(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t)\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1}\mathbf{x}_t.$$

As a result, we will have that

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}'_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}) - \frac{\partial \gamma'_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{E}'_N (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}) + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{D}'_k (\mathbf{C}' \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \otimes \mathbf{I}_k).$$

Similarly, given that $\mathbf{v}_{t|t} = \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{g}_{t|t}$, we will have that

$$d\mathbf{v}_{t|t} = -(d\mathbf{C})\mathbf{g}_{t|t} - \mathbf{C}(d\mathbf{g}_{t|t}),$$

whence

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}'_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} (\mathbf{I}_N \otimes \mathbf{g}_{t|t}) - \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}'_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{C}'.$$

In addition, since $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{t|t} = \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}\mathbf{C}'$, then

$$d\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{t|t} = (d\mathbf{C})\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}\mathbf{C}' + \mathbf{C}(d\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t})\mathbf{C}' + \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t}(d\mathbf{C}').$$

Hence, if we call $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t|t} = \text{vech}(\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{t|t}) = \mathbf{D}_N^+ \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{t|t})$, then after some algebraic manipulations we will have that

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}'_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \left[2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} (\mathbf{I}_N \otimes \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t} \mathbf{C}') + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{D}'_k (\mathbf{C}' \otimes \mathbf{C}') \right] \mathbf{D}_N^{+'}.$$

If some $\gamma_{it} = 0$, though, the above expressions become invalid. Nevertheless, appropriately modified expressions can be developed along the lines of Sentana (2000). For the sake of brevity, though, we only obtain the score when $\text{rank}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t) = N - k$, so that there are as many Heywood cases as factors. To do so, let us partition the original set of variables in two subsets, say \mathbf{x}_{at} and \mathbf{x}_{bt} , of dimensions k and $N - k$ respectively. With this notation, we can re-write the auxiliary model as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{at} \\ \mathbf{x}_{bt} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}_a \\ \mathbf{C}_b \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{g}_t + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{at} \\ \mathbf{v}_{bt} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{g}_t \\ \mathbf{v}_{at} \\ \mathbf{v}_{bt} \end{pmatrix} | X_{t-1} \sim N \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda}_t & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\Gamma}_{at} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\Gamma}_{bt} \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

In this context, it is convenient to factorise the joint log-likelihood function of \mathbf{x}_{at} and \mathbf{x}_{bt} (given X_{t-1}) as the marginal log-likelihood function of \mathbf{x}_{at} (given X_{t-1}) plus the conditional log-likelihood function of \mathbf{x}_{bt} given \mathbf{x}_{at} (and X_{t-1}). More formally, we can write

$$l_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = l_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + l_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

so that

$$\mathbf{s}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{s}_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{s}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

The two log-likelihood components will be given by

$$l_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{k}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}| - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}'_{at} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{at}$$

and

$$l_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{N-k}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})| - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= V(\mathbf{x}_{at} | X_{t-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}'_a + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \mathbf{x}_{bt} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= E(\mathbf{x}_{bt} | \mathbf{x}_{at}, X_{t-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{C}_{bt} \mathbf{g}_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \\ \mathbf{g}_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= E(\mathbf{g}_t | \mathbf{x}_{at}, X_{t-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{x}_{at}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= E(\mathbf{g}_t | \mathbf{x}_{at}, X_{t-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{C}_b \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}'_b + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{bt}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = V(\mathbf{g}_t | \mathbf{x}_{at}, X_{t-1}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Therefore, if we partition \mathbf{c} and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ as $(\mathbf{c}'_a, \mathbf{c}'_b)'$ and $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}'_a, \boldsymbol{\gamma}'_b)'$, respectively, where $\mathbf{c}_a = \text{vec}(\mathbf{C}'_a)$, $\mathbf{c}_b = \text{vec}(\mathbf{C}'_b)$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{at} = \text{vecd}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{at})$, and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{bt} = \text{vecd}(\mathbf{\Gamma}_{bt})$, then we can use the expressions derived before to find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'_a}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{at} \mathbf{x}'_{at} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}'_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vecd}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{at} \mathbf{x}'_{at} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}'_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vecd}(\mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{at} \mathbf{x}'_{at} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a - \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a). \end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain $\mathbf{s}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, though, we first need to find the Jacobian matrices $\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'$ and $\partial \text{vec}[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'$. Straightforward algebra shows that

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}'_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'_b}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} (\mathbf{I}_{N-k} \otimes \mathbf{g}_{t|at}) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}'_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{C}'_b$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \text{vec}' [\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'_b}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} (\mathbf{I}_{N-k} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{bt|at} \mathbf{C}'_b) (\mathbf{I}_{(N-k)^2 k^2} + \mathbf{K}_{(N-k)k, (N-k)k}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial \gamma'_{bt}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{E}'_{N-k} + \frac{\partial \omega'_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{D}'_k (\mathbf{C}'_b \otimes \mathbf{C}'_b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}'_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{C}'_b \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at} \\ &+ \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'_b}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vec} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at} \mathbf{g}_{t|at} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{bt|at} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_b \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_b \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \gamma'_{bt}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vecd} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{bt|at} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega'_{bt|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{D}'_k \text{vec} \left(\mathbf{C}'_b \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{bt|at} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_b - \mathbf{C}'_b \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_b \right). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the differential of $\mathbf{g}_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ will be

$$d\mathbf{g}_{t|at} = (d\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t) \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{at} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t (d\mathbf{C}'_a) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{at} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} (d\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{at},$$

where $d\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}$ is analogous to (B4). As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}'_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'_a}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \left[(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{at} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}) - (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \otimes \mathbf{g}_{t|at}) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial \gamma'_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{E}'_k (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{at} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t) + \frac{\partial \lambda'_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{E}'_k (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \mathbf{g}_{t|at} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the differential of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}$ will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at} &= (d\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t) - (d\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t) \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t (d\mathbf{C}'_a) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} (d\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \\ &\quad - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} (d\mathbf{C}_a) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a (d\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \omega'_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \left\{ -2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'_a}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}) - \frac{\partial \gamma'_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{E}'_k (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial \lambda'_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{E}'_k [(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at} \otimes \mathbf{I}_k) - (\mathbf{C}'_a \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \otimes \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at})] \right\} \mathbf{D}'_k. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we need to obtain $\partial \mathbf{g}'_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\partial \omega'_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}$. But since

$$\mathbf{g}_{t|t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{g}_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}'_b \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|t} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}'_b \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{C}_b \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

we can obtain the required derivatives by combining the previous expressions.

Fortunately, all the above formulae simplify considerably when $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{at} = \mathbf{0}$. Specifically, let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ denote the value of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ when $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{at} = \mathbf{0}$. Then, it is immediate to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbf{C}_a \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \mathbf{C}'_a, \\ \mathbf{g}_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \mathbf{C}_a^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{at},\end{aligned}$$

and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{0}$, so that $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{x}_{bt} - \mathbf{C}_b^* \mathbf{x}_{at}$, with $\mathbf{C}_b^* = \mathbf{C}_b \mathbf{C}_a^{-1}$, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}$. Moreover,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \mathbf{x}_{at} \mathbf{x}'_{at} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{C}_a'^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \left[\mathbf{g}_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \mathbf{g}'_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \mathbf{C}_a^{-1}.$$

As a result, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{s}_{at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'_a}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vec} \left[\left(\mathbf{g}_{t|at} \mathbf{g}'_{t|at} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t \right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a^{-1} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \gamma'_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vecd}[\mathbf{C}_a'^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} (\mathbf{g}_{t|at} \mathbf{g}'_{t|at} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a^{-1}] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \lambda'_t(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vecd}[\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} (\mathbf{g}_{t|at} \mathbf{g}'_{t|at} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1}]\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{s}_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'_a}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vec}[\mathbf{C}_b^* \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \mathbf{g}'_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})] + \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'_b}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vec}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \mathbf{g}'_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})] \\ &+ \frac{\partial \gamma'_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \text{vecd}\{\mathbf{C}_b^* \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}] \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_b^*\} - \mathbf{E}'_k \mathbf{C}_b^* \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \mathbf{g}'_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \mathbf{C}_a^{-1} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \gamma'_{bt}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{vecd}\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}] \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}^{-1}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}'_{t|t}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}'_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{D}_k[\mathbf{C}_b^* \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{bt}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{bt|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \otimes \mathbf{I}_k]$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{t|t}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}},$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}'_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}'_a}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} [\mathbf{C}_a^{-1'} \otimes \mathbf{g}'_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})] + \frac{\partial \gamma'_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{E}'_k [\mathbf{C}_a^{-1'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \mathbf{g}_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \otimes \mathbf{C}_a^{-1'}],$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{t|at}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{\partial \gamma'_{at}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{E}'_k (\mathbf{C}_a^{-1'} \otimes \mathbf{C}_a^{-1'}) \mathbf{D}_k.$$

Although these expressions are strictly speaking only valid when an idiosyncratic variance is identically 0, we recommend their use whenever some γ_{it} is less than .0001 because the expressions for $\gamma_t > \mathbf{0}$ become numerically unreliable for smaller values.