

Competition and Stability in Banking

An Economist's Perspective

Rafael Repullo

CEMFI, Madrid, Spain

International Bar Association Antitrust Conference

Madrid, 14 June 2012

“The legislative reforms adopted in most countries as a response to the banking and financial crises of the 1930s shared one basic idea which was that, **in order to preserve the stability of the banking and financial industry, competition had to be restrained.**”

Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa (2001)

This presentation

- Selective review of main results on the relationship between competition and stability in banking
- Do we need a special competition policy for financial sector?

Outline

- The risk-shifting problem
- The charter value hypothesis
- Alternative views
- Other related results
- Concluding remarks

Part 1

The risk-shifting problem

A numerical example (i)

- Consider a risk-neutral investor that can choose between

→ Prudent asset

Investment 100 → Return 15

→ Gambling asset

Investment 100 → Return $\begin{cases} 30, & \text{with prob. } 1/3 \\ 0, & \text{with prob. } 2/3 \end{cases}$

- Gambling asset is dominated by prudent asset

→ Return of prudent asset = 15%

→ Expected return of gambling asset = 10%

A numerical example (ii)

- Assumptions:
 - Investor has to borrow required funds at rate r
 - There is limited liability
 - Moral hazard: Choice of asset is not observed by lender
- Question: Which asset will the investor choose?

A numerical example (iii)

- Payoffs for investor when $r = 5\%$
 - Prudent asset: $15 - 5 = 10$
 - Gambling asset: $(30 - 5) / 3 = 8.3$
 - Investor will choose prudent asset
- Payoffs for investor when $r = 10\%$
 - Prudent asset: $15 - 10 = 5$
 - Gambling asset: $(30 - 10) / 3 = 6.7$
 - Investor will choose gambling asset
- **General result: Investor prefers to gamble when $r > 7.5\%$**

The risk-shifting problem

- Inefficient choice of investment under
 - Debt finance
 - Limited liability
 - Moral hazard
- Problem is more severe
 - When borrowing rates are high
 - Or, more generally, **when margins are low**
- Reference: Stiglitz and Weiss (1981)

Part 2

The charter value hypothesis

The charter value hypothesis

- Suppose that investor is bank funded with deposits
- What is the effect of increased competition?
 - Reduction in interest rate margins
 - Incentives to take more (inefficient) risk
 - Effect reinforced by loss of charter upon failure
- Conclusion: **Competition is bad for stability**

The regulatory response

- What would be the appropriate regulatory response?
 - Capital requirements
 - **Equity reduces risk-shifting incentives**
- Interpretation of 1988 Accord of Basel Committee (Basel I)
 - Response to increased competition and deregulation
- Reference: Repullo (2004)

Part 3

Alternative views

Competition and default risk

- Suppose that investor is firm borrowing from bank
- What is the effect of increased competition among banks?
 - Lower loan rates
 - Incentives for firms to take less risk
 - Safer loan portfolios
- Conclusion: **Competition is good for stability**
- Reference: Boyd and De Nicoló (2005)

The role of default correlation

- Previous result assumes perfect correlation in loan defaults
 - Firms' prob. of default = Banks' prob. of failure
- What happens with imperfect correlation?
 - Increased competition reduces loan rates
 - Lower interest payments from non-defaulting loans
 - Lower margins (that provide buffer to cover loan losses)
- Conclusion: **Too much competition is bad for stability**
- Reference: Martinez-Miera and Repullo (2010)

Part 4

Other related results

The last bank standing effect

- Giving ex-post monopoly rents to surviving banks after crisis
 - Increases margins upon survival
 - Induces banks to take less risk ex-ante
- Conclusion: **Ex-post monopoly rents are good for stability**
- Reference: Perotti and Suarez (2002)

The role of deposit insurance

- Insuring deposits reduces the cost of banks' funding
 - Increases margins and charter values
 - Reduces incentives to take risk
- Conclusion: **Deposit insurance is good for stability**
- Reference: Repullo (2005)

The role of state aid

- Same effect as deposit insurance
- However, asymmetric aid (to one bank and not others)
 - Reduce margins of competitor banks
 - Induces them to take more risk
- Conclusion: **Asymmetric state aid is bad for stability**
- Reference: Hakenes and Schnabel (2010)

Concluding remarks

Summing up (i)

- Large literature on the effect of competition on stability
- Many different results
 - Depending on specific economic environment
- Empirical analysis could be useful
 - But it is unlikely to be conclusive

Summing up (ii)

- Good things for financial stability
 - Charter values (current and future market power)
 - Deposit insurance
 - Capital requirements
- Bad things for financial stability
 - High loan rates (current market power)
 - Asymmetric state aid or guarantees

A special competition policy?

- Do we need a special competition policy for financial sector?
 - **Probably yes**
 - Social costs of financial crises are huge
- Such policy is likely to be very complex, and should consider
 - Consumer protection (deposit insurance)
 - Prudential regulation (capital requirements)
 - Dynamic aspects of competition (last bank standing)
- **Regulators need to upgrade their research capabilities**

References

- Stiglitz and Weiss (1981), “Credit Rationing in Markets with Imperfect Information,” *American Economic Review*.
- Repullo (2004), “Capital Requirements, Market Power, and Risk-Taking in Banking,” *Journal of Financial Intermediation*.
- Boyd and De Nicoló (2005), “The Theory of Bank Risk-Taking and Competition Revisited,” *Journal of Finance*.
- Martinez-Miera and Repullo (2008), “Does Competition Reduce the Risk of Bank Failure?,” *Review of Financial Studies*.
- Perotti and Suarez (2002), “Last Bank Standing: What Do I Gain if You Fail?,” *European Economic Review*.
- Repullo (2005), “Policies for Banking Crises: A Theoretical Framework”, in Honohan and Laeven (eds.), *Systemic Financial Crises*.
- Hakenes and Schnabel (2010), “Banks without Parachutes: Competitive Effects of Government Bail-out Policies,” *Journal of Financial Stability*.