# University of Oxford



# APPLIED ECONOMICS DISCUSSION PAPER

No. 57

AN ALTERNATIVE TRANSFORMATION FOR FIXED EFFECTS MODELS
WITH PREDETERMINED VARIABLES

BY

MANUEL ARELLANO

NOVEMBER 1988

INSTITUTE OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS MANOR ROAD, OXFORD.

# AN ALTERNATIVE TRANSFORMATION FOR FIXED EFFECTS MODELS WITH PREDETERMINED VARIABLES

Manuel Arellano

Institute of Economics and Statistics, Oxford.

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this note is to propose an alternative transformation of a fixed effects model which (i) eliminates the individual effects, (ii) preserves the orthogonality among the transformed errors, and (iii) it is very useful in order to obtain in a natural way optimal IV or GMM estimators for models with predetermined variables.

### 1. Introduction

The within-groups or covariance estimator remains the most popular technique for the estimation of linear regression models from panel data: fixed effects are eliminated after individual means are substracted from the observations, and OLS is applied to the transformed equation. An attractive feature of the resulting estimates is that they are consistent for short panels (small T) of large cross-sections (large N) regardless of the correlation between the regressors and the individual effects. However, as it has been repeatedly pointed out in the literature (e.g. Nickell (1981), Chamberlain (1984)), this is only true if the regressors are strictly exogenous. When the explanatory variables are only predetermined (that is, uncorrelated with present and future shocks but correlated to past ones) within—groups estimates are inconsistent as  $N \to \varpi$  for fixed T. These inconsistencies are of order 1/T if the random errors are white noise but they still are a serious concern for the type of samples usually available. Moreover, since the error term for any one period in the model in deviations from time means depends on the errors for all other periods, it is difficult to find valid moment restrictions for this representation of the model. This has prompted the development of instrumental variables (IV) or generalized method of moments (GMM) estimators based on first or longer difference transformations (e.g. Anderson and Hsiao (1981 and 1982), Griliches and Hausman (1986), Arellano and Bond (1988)). Regrettably, differencing the model introduces serial correlation and one may suspect that often this will lead to inefficient estimators.

The purpose of this note is to propose an alternative transformation of the model which (i) eliminates the individual effects, (ii) preserves the orthogonality among the transformed errors — if the original random errors are independently and identically distributed (iid), the transformed errors are also iid, and (iii) it is very useful in order to obtain in a natural way optimal IV or GMM estimators for models with predetermined variables.

## 2. The Transformation

The model is given by

$$y_{it} = x_{it} \beta + u_{it}$$

$$u_{it} = \eta_i + v_{it}$$
 (t=1,...,T; i=1,...,N)

where  $x_{it}$  is a 1xk vector of explanatory variables,  $\beta$  is the kx1 vector of coefficients to be estimated,  $\eta_i$  is an unobservable individual effect and  $v_{it}$  is a random error assumed to be iid across individuals and time with zero mean and variance  $\sigma^2$ . The explanatory variables may well be correlated with the effects so that  $E\left[x_{it}\eta_i\right]\neq 0$ . Alternatively we can write the system of T equations for individual i as

$$y_i = X_i \beta + u_i$$

where  $\mathbf{y}_i = \left[\mathbf{y}_{i1} ... \mathbf{y}_{iT}\right]'$ ,  $\mathbf{X}_i = \left[\mathbf{x}_{i1}'...\mathbf{x}_{iT}'\right]'$  and  $\mathbf{u}_i = \left[\mathbf{u}_{i1}...\mathbf{u}_{iT}\right]'$ . The within-groups operator is the TxT idempotent matrix Q of rank (T-1) given by

$$Q = I_{T} - \frac{1}{T} \iota \iota'$$

where  $I_T$  is an identity matrix of order T and  $\iota$  is a Tx1 vector of ones. Thus the transformed errors  $u_i = Qu_i$  are of the form

$$\bar{u}_{it} = u_{it} - \frac{1}{T} [u_{i1} + ... + u_{iT}]$$
 (t=1,...,T).

Here we propose to remove individual effects by mean of the following transformation

$$u_{it}^{+} = u_{it} - \frac{1}{(T-t)} [u_{i(t+1)} + ... + u_{iT}]$$
 (t=1,...,T-1),

that is, to each of the first (T-1) observations we substract the mean of the remaining future observations available in the sample. The operator that produces this transformation is a (T-1)xT matrix  $A^+$  of the form

$$\mathbf{A}^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -(\mathbf{T}-1)^{-1} & -(\mathbf{T}-1)^{-1} & \dots & -(\mathbf{T}-1)^{-1} & -(\mathbf{T}-1)^{-1} & -(\mathbf{T}-1)^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 & -(\mathbf{T}-2)^{-1} & \dots & -(\mathbf{T}-2)^{-1} & -(\mathbf{T}-2)^{-1} & -(\mathbf{T}-2)^{-1} \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is straightforward to verify that  $E\left[u_{\ i\ t}^+u_{\ i\ s}^+\right]=0$  for any t  $\neq$  s. For example, if t < s we have

$$\begin{split} E \Big[ u_{it}^+ u_{is}^+ \Big] &= -\frac{1}{(T-t)} E \Big[ v_{is} \Big[ v_{i(t+1)}^+ \cdots^+ v_{iT} \Big] \Big] \\ &+ \frac{1}{(T-t)(T-s)} E \Big[ \Big[ v_{i(t+1)}^+ \cdots^+ v_{iT} \Big] \Big[ v_{i(s+1)}^+ \cdots^+ v_{iT} \Big] \Big] \\ &= -\frac{\sigma^2}{(T-t)} + \frac{\sigma^2}{(T-t)} = 0 \end{split} .$$

On the other hand,

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathbf{u}_{i\ t}^{+}\right] \stackrel{=}{=} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{t} + 1}{\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{t}}\right] \sigma^{2}$$

which suggests weighting the u<sup>+</sup><sub>it</sub> to equalize the variances, thus obtaining

$$\mathbf{u}_{i\,t}^{*} = \left[\frac{T-t}{T-t+1}\right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbf{u}_{i\,t} - \frac{1}{(T-t)} \left[\mathbf{u}_{i\,(t+1)} + \dots + \mathbf{u}_{i\,T}\right]\right] \quad (t=1,\dots,T-1) \ .$$

Letting  $u_i^* = \left[u_{i\,1}^* ... u_{i\,(T-1)}^*\right]$ , we can write  $u_i^* = Au_i$  where A is the (T-1)xT matrix

$$A = diag\left[\frac{T-1}{T}, \frac{T-2}{T-1}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{1/2} A^{+}$$

Some properties of this transformation which can be easily verified by direct multiplication are  $A\iota=0$ ,  $AA'=I_{\left(T-1\right)}$  and A'A=Q. Differencing with respect to the mean of past observations would produce an alternative transformation with similar properties. However since predetermined variables depend on past but not future shocks, the A-transformation turns out to be more useful in order to handle this situation.

#### 3. Some Estimators

Let  $y_i^* = Ay_i$  and  $X_i^* = AX_i$ . The fact that A'A = Q implies that the OLS regression of  $y_i^*$  on  $X_i^*$  will yield the within-groups estimator:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{WG}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\star}, \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\star}, \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\star} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\star} \mathbf{X}_{i} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \sum\limits_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}^{\star}, \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\star}$$

where  $y_i = Qy_i$  and  $X_i = QX_i$ . However  $\hat{\beta}_{WG}$  is only consistent when  $x_{it}$  is strictly exogenous with respect to  $v_{it}$ . That is, when  $E[x_{it}v_{is}] = 0$  for all t and s. When  $x_{it}$  is only predetermined, in the sense that

$$E[x_{it}v_{is}] \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{for } s \ge t \\ \neq 0 & \text{for } s < t \end{cases}$$

it is still possible to use a different subset of the  $x_{it}$ , t=1,...,T as instruments for the transformed equations corresponding to each period. Specifically, since  $u_{i1}^*$  depends on  $v_{i1},...,v_{iT}$  only  $x_{i1}$  is a valid instrument in the equation for the first period. For the second period  $u_{i2}^*$  depends on  $v_{i2},...,v_{iT}$  and thus  $x_{i1}$  and  $x_{i2}$  are both valid instruments, etc. The complete matrix of instruments for the A-transformed system of (T-1) equations is

$$\mathbf{Z}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{x}_{i1} & \mathbf{x}_{i2} & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \mathbf{x}_{i1} & \mathbf{x}_{i2} & \dots & \mathbf{x}_{i(T-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the corresponding IV estimator is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{IV}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \ \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{*} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \ \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}^{*} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \ \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}^{*} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{*} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \ \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{*} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \ \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}^{*} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \ \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}^{*} \boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the  $u_{i\,t}^*$  are iid errors, the standard IV theory ensures the asymptotic efficiency of  $\hat{\beta}_{IV}$  within its class. If, however,  $u_i$  is heteroskedastic of unknown form, efficiency gains of the type considered by White (1982) are possible: a second step estimator would replace the norm  $\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_i & Z_i^*Z_i \end{bmatrix}$  in the formula for  $\hat{\beta}_{IV}$  by  $\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_i & Z_i^*u_i^*u_i^* \\ Z_i & u_i^*u_i^* \end{bmatrix}$  where the  $\hat{u}_i^*$  are first step residuals.

#### References

- Anderson, T.W. and C. Hsiao (1981): "Estimation of Dynamic Models with Error Components", <u>Journal of the American Statistical Association</u>, 76, 598-606.
- Anderson, T.W. and C. Hsiao (1982): "Formulation and Estimation of Dynamic Models Using Panel Data", <u>Journal of Econometrics</u>, 18, 47–82.
- Arellano, M. and S. Bond (1988): "Some Tests of Specification for Panel Data: Monte Carlo Evidence and an Application to Employment Equations", Institute for Fiscal Studies Working Paper 88/4, London.
- Chamberlain, G. (1984): "Panel Data", in Z. Griliches and M.D. Intriligator (eds.), Handbook of Econometrics, Volume II, Elsevier Science Publishers.
- Griliches, Z. and J.A. Hausman (1986): "Errors in Variables in Panel Data", <u>Journal of Econometrics</u>, 31, 93-118.
- Nickell, S.J. (1981): "Biases in Dynamic Models with Fixed Effects, <u>Econometrica</u>, 49, 1417-1426.
- White, H. (1982): "Instrumental Variables Regression with Independent Observations", Econometrica, 50, 483-499.

#### OXFORD APPLIED ECONOMICS DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

- No. 1 Empirical Modelling in Dynamic Econometrics David Hendry
- No. 2 How Rational are the Expectations made by Firms Colin Mayer and Matthias Mors
- No. 3 Developments in the Study of Cointegrated Economic Variables Clive Granger
- No. 4 The Short Run Behaviour of Labour Supply Stephen Nickell
- No. 5 Disaggregative versus Aggregative Wage Equations Wilfred Beckerman and Tim Jenkinson
- No. 6 Consequences of a Commodity Boom in a Controlled Economy: Accumulation and Redistribution in Kenya, 1975-83

  D.L. Bevan, P. Collier and J.W. Gunning
- No. 7 The Role and Occupation in the Determination of Wages J. de Beyer and J.B. Knight
- No. 8 The Development of British Econometrics, 1945-85 Christopher L. Gilbert
- No. 9 Post-war Fertility and Female Labour Force Participation Rates
  Alison Sprague
- No.10 Econometric Evaluation of Linear Macro-Economic Models Yock Y. Chong and David F. Hendry
- No.11 Estimating Contaminated Limited Dependent Variable Models

  Manuel Arellano and Olympia Bover
- No.12 Liquidity Constraints and Aggregation in the Consumption Function under Uncertainty

  John Muellhauer and Olympia Bover
- No.13 John Muellbauer and Olympia Bover
  Some Dynamic Life-Cycle Models of Labour Supply Estimated
  From Panel Data
  Olympia Bover
- No.14 Rent Seeking and Bank Lending to Developing Countries: The Implications of Derivative Business Harold Cataquet
- No.15 Why is Wage Inflation in Britain So High? Stephen Nickell
- No.16 Employment Adjustment in UK Manufacturing Stephen Nickell
- No.17 The Estimation and Hypothesis Testing of Dynamic Models from Panel Data

  Manuel Arellano
- No.18 Intertemporal Employment and Pricing Decisions Rules in UK Manufacturing

  Juan J. Dolado
- No.19 Indexation, Contract Duration and Non-Contingent Wage Adjustment: A Study Based on Contract Data,

  Louis N. Christofides
- No.20 Monte Carlo Experimentation Using PC-NAIVE, David F. Hendry and Adrian J. Neale
- No.21 Portfolio Theory and the Financial Sector of the London Business School (LBS) Model

  A.S. Courakis

- On Compatible Trade, Monetary and Exchange Rate Policies No.22 Paul Collier
- Autoregressions Vector and Extreme Bounds, No.23 Alternative Approaches to Econometric Structural Models: Methodology Christopher L. Gilbert
- Colliery Results and Closures after the 1984-85 Coal Dispute No.24 Andrew Glyn
- Assertion Without Empirical Basis: An Econometric Appraisal No.25 of Monetary Trends...in the United Kingdom by Milton Freidman and Anna J. Schwartz David F. Hendry and Neil R. Ericsson
- No.26 Labour Supply and Hours Constraints Manuel Arellano and Costas Meghir
- Food Subsidies and Poverty Alleviation No.27
- Timothy Besley and Ravi Kanbur
  Mergers, Takeovers and the Enforcement of Profit Maximization No.28 Dieter Helm
- Wages, Prices, Employment and Output in UK Industry No.29 Stephen Nickell and Paul Kong
- The Impact of Exchange Rates and Developing Country Debt No.30 on Commodity Prices Christopher L. Gilbert
- Turnover in UK Manufacturing No.31 Simon M. Burgess and Stephen Nickell
- Intertemporal Rules with Variable Speed of Adjustment: an No.32 UK Application to UK Manufacturing Employment Simon Burgess and Juan Dolado
- Labour Skills and Human Capital in the Explanation of Trade No.33 Patterns Anthony S. Courakis
- The Management of Developing Country Commodity Risks: No.34 A New Role for Public Policy Christopher L. Gilbert and Andrew Powell
- The Erosion of Apartheid in the South African Labour Market: No.35 Measures and Mechanisms J.B. Knight and M.D. McGrath
- No.36 A Case for Exchange Controls Andrew Glyn
- Efficient and Inefficient Employment Outcomes: A Study Based No.37 on Canadian Contract Data Louis N. Christofides and Andrew J. Oswald
- Empirical Study of the Interrelationship between No.38 Inventory Decisions UK in Price Employment, and Manufacturing Juan J. Dolado
- Cointegration: A Survey of Recent Developments No.39 Juan J. Dolado and Tim Jenkinson
- The Diversity of Unemployment Experience since 1973 No.40 Bob Rowthorn and Andrew Glyn
- Real Wages and Unemployment in Britain during the 1930s No.41 N.H. Dimsdale, S.J. Nickell and N. Horsewood

- No.42 Employment and Structural Change in Britain: Trends and Policy Options
  Christine Greenhalph
- No.43 Work Experience, Earnings and Participation: Evidence from the Women and Employment Survey

  Alison Sprague
- No.44 Employment and Structural Change in Britain Quantitative Evidence and Policy Simulations

  Christing Greenhaleh Many Greenward Amit Park
- Christine Greenhalgh, Mary Gregory and Amit Ray

  No.45 Tests of the Life Cycle-Permanent Income Hypothesis in the
  Presence of Random Walks: Asymptotic Theory and Small
  Sample Interpretations
  Anindya Banerjee and Juan Dolado
- No.46 Means Testing versus University Provision in Poverty Alleviation Programmes

  Tim Besley
- No.47 Firms as Portfolios: A Study of Unquoted UK Companies Donald A. Hay and Helen Louri
- No.48 External Debt Behaviour Reconsidered Nawal Kamel
- No.49 An Investigation into the Power of Insiders in Wage Determination

  Stephen Nickell and Paul Kong
- No.50 Criteria for National Solvency Nawal Kamel
- No.51 Pricing and Employment in the UK an Open Economy Model V. Bhaskar
- No.52 Fiscal Policy and Inflationary Expectations: The Hungarian Tax Pengo Experiment of 1946

  P.L. Siklos
- No.53 Dynamic Specification with the General Error-Correction Form
  Anindya Banerjee, John W. Galbraith, Juan Dolado
- No.54 Does GNP have a Unit Root? A Detailed Examination using Recursive Methods

  Juan Dolado, Anindya Banerjee, John W. Galbraith
- No.55 Some Tests of Specification for Panel Data: Monte Carlo Evidence and an Application to Employment Equations

  Manuel Arellano and Stephen Bond
- No.56 Testing for Autocorrelation in Dynamic Random Effects
  Models
  Manuel Arellano
- No.57 An Alternative Transformation for Fixed Effects Models with Predetermined Variables

  Manuel Arellano
- No.58 Exchange Rate Pass-Through When Market Share Matters Kenneth A. Froot and Paul Klemperer
- No.59 An Empirical Study of Unemployment and the Number of Children in Care

  Alan Carruth and Andrew Oswald
- No.60 Did Policy Activism Work?

  Andrea Boltho

- No.61 Price Inertia and Policy Ineffectiveness in the United States, 1890-1984: A Reappraisal P.L. Siklos
- No.62 The Pattern of Female Mortality in Iran and Some of its Causes
  Feridoon Koohi-Kamali

Single Copies which are not out of print are available for a nominal charge of £1.